arXiv:1108.2431v1 [math.PR] 11 Aug 2011

PROCESS-LEVEL LARGE DEVIATIONS FOR GENERAL HAWKES PROCESSES

LINGJIONG ZHU

Abstract. Hawkes process is a point process widely applicable and has been extensively studied, especially for the linear case. The large deviation result for the linear case is known. In this paper, we prove a process-level, also known as level-3 large deviation principle for nonlinear Hawkes process. We then use the contraction principle to obtain the level-1 large deviation principle.

Contents

1. Introduction and Main Results 2
2. Lower Bound 6
3. Upper Bound 13
4. Superexponential Estimates 21
Acknowledgements 27
References 27

Date: 9 August 2011. *Revised:* 9 August 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G55, 60F10.

Key words and phrases. Large deviations, rare events, Point processes, Hawkes processes, self-exciting processes.

This research was supported partially by a grant from the National Science Foundation: DMS-0904701.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we study the process-level large deviations for general Hawkes process. We consider a point process with Poisson rate $\lambda(\sum_{\tau<t} h(t-\tau))$ at time t, where τ are the arrival times and $N(-\infty,0]=0$. When $\lambda(\cdot)$ is linear, this is known as the (linear) Hawkes process, which was first introduced by Hawkes [7] in 1970s. It captures both the self-exciting property and the clustering effect. You can think of the arrival times τ as "bad" events, which can be the arrivals of claims in insurance literature or the time of defaults of big firms in the real world. Hawkes process has many applications in finance. It is used for the calculation of conditional risk measures, ruin probabilities and credit default modeling. For a list of references to the applications in finance, see Liniger [9].

Hawkes process may also have applications in cosmology, ecology, epidemiology, seismological applications, neuroscience applications and DNA modeling. For a list of references to these applications, see Bordenave and Torrisi [1].

For a short history of Hawkes process, we refer to Liniger [9].

When $\lambda(\cdot)$ is nonlinear, Brémaud and Massoulié [2] proves that under certain conditions, there exists a unique stationary version of the nonlinear Hawkes process and Brémaud and Massoulié [2] also proves the convergence to equilibrium of a nonstationary version, both in distribution and in variation.

We consider a general Hawkes process with Poisson rate function $\lambda(\cdot)$ and exciting function $h(\cdot)$ and $N(-\infty,0]=0$. At time t, the Poisson rate is given by $\lambda\left(\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,t)} h(t-\tau)\right)$. Throughout this paper, we assume that

- The exiciting function $h(t)$ is positive, continuous and decreasing for $t\geq 0$ and $h(t)=0$ for any $t<0$. We also assume that $\int_0^\infty h(t)dt<\infty$.
- The rate function $\lambda(\cdot):[0,\infty)\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^+$ is increasing and $\lim_{z\rightarrow\infty}\frac{\lambda(z)}{z}=0$. We also assume that $\lambda(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz with constant $\alpha>0$, i.e. $|\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)|\leq\alpha|x-y|$ for any $x,y\geq 0$.

Let Ω be the set of countable and locally finite subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ and for any $\omega\in\Omega$ and $A\subseteq\mathbb{R}$, $\omega(A):=\omega\cap A$. For any $t\in\mathbb{R}$, we write $\omega(t)=\omega(\{t\})$. Let $N(A)=\#|\omega\cap A|$ denote the number of points in the set A for any $A\subset\mathbb{R}$. We also use the notation N_t denote $N[0,t]$, the number of points up to time t starting from time 0. We define the shift operator θ_t as $\theta_t(\omega)(s)=\omega(t+s)$. We equip the sample space Ω with the topology in which the convergence $\omega_n\rightarrow\omega$ as $n\rightarrow\infty$ is defined as

$$\sum_{\tau\in\omega_n} f(\tau)\rightarrow\sum_{\tau\in\omega} f(\tau), \tag{1.1}$$

for any continuous f with compact support.

This topology is equivalent to the vague topology for random measures. For a discussion on vague topology, random measures and point processes, see for example Grandell [6]. One can equip the space of locally finite random measures with the vague topology. The subspace of integer valued random measures is then the space of point processes. A simple point processes is a point process without multiple jumps. The space of point processes is closed. But the space of simple point processes is not closed.

It is easy to check that for any $a<b$, $\omega\mapsto N[a,b](\omega)$ is continuous for a.e. ω under Q, where Q is any stationary point process. For any set of the form $\{\omega: N[0,1](\omega)\leq M\}$, it is therefore closed. Moreover, its complement is $\{\omega:$

$N[0,1](\omega) > M\} = \{\omega : N[0,1](\omega) \geq \lceil M \rceil\}$ which is again closed. Hence, the sets of the forms $\{\omega : N[0,1](\omega) \leq M\}$, $\{\omega : N[0,1](\omega) < M\}$, $\{\omega : N[0,1](\omega) \geq M\}$, $\{\omega : N[0,1](\omega) > M\}$ are all continuous sets.

Denote $\mathcal{F}_t^s = \sigma(\omega[s,t])$ for any $s < t$, i.e. the σ-algebra generated by all the possible configurations of points in the interval $[s,t]$. Denote $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ the space of probability measures on Ω. $Q_n \to Q$ if and only if

$$\int f dQ_n \to \int f dQ, \tag{1.2}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any continuous and bounded f and

$$\int N[0,1](\omega) Q_n(d\omega) \to \int N[0,1](\omega) Q(d\omega), \tag{1.3}$$

as $n \to \infty$. In other words, the topology is the strengthened weak topology with the convergence of the first moment of $N[0,1]$.

A point process Q is simple if $Q(\exists t : N[t-,t] \geq 2) = 0$.

We also define $\mathcal{M}_S(\Omega)$ as the space of simple point processes that are invariant with respect to θ_t and $\mathcal{M}_E(\Omega)$ as the space of ergodic simple point processes. We also denote $C(\Omega)$ the set of real-valued continous functions on Ω. We similarly define $C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$.

Before we proceed, recall that a sequence $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of probability measures on a topological space X satisfies the large deviation principle (LDP) with rate function $I : X \to \mathbb{R}$ if I is non-negative, lower semicontinuous and for any measurable set A,

$$-\inf_{x \in A^o} I(x) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n(A) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n(A) \leq -\inf_{x \in \overline{A}} I(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Here, A^o is the interior of A and $\overline{A}$ is its closure. See Dembo and Zeitouni [4] or Varadhan [12] for general background regarding large deviations and the applications. Also Varadhan [13] has an excellent survey article on this subject.

Let us have a brief review on what is known about large deviations for Hawkes processes.

When $\lambda(\cdot)$ is linear, say $\lambda(z) = \nu + z$, then one can use immigration-birth representation, also known as Galton-Watson theory to study it. Under the immigration-birth representation, if the immigrants are distributed as Poisson process with intensity ν and each immigrant generates a cluster whose number of points is denoted by S, then N_t is the total number of points generated in the clusters up to time t. If the process is ergodic, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = \nu \mathbb{E}[S], \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{1.5}$$

Bordenave and Torrisi [1] proves that if $0 < \mu = \int_0^\infty h(t)dt < 1$ and $\int_0^\infty th(t)dt < \infty$, then $\left(\frac{N_t}{t} \in \cdot\right)$ satisfies the Large Deviation Principle with the good rate function

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} x\theta_x + \nu - \frac{\nu x}{\nu + \mu x} & \text{if } x \in [0,\infty) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\theta = \theta_x$ is the unique solution in $(-\infty, \mu - 1 - \log\mu)$ of $\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta S}] = \frac{x}{\nu + x\mu}$, $x > 0$. It is well known that, for instance, see page 39 of Jagers [8], for all $\theta \in$

$(-\infty, \mu - 1 - \log\mu)$, $\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta S}]$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta S}] = e^{\theta} \exp\left\{\mu(\mathbb{E}[e^{\theta S}] - 1)\right\}. \tag{1.7}$$

When $\lambda(\cdot)$ is linear, Zhu [14] gives an alternative proof for the large deviation principle for $(N_t/t \in \cdot)$.

Once the LDP for $\left(\frac{N_t}{t} \in \cdot\right)$ is established, it is easy to study the ruin probability. Stabile and Torrisi [11] considered risk processes with non-stationary Hawkes claims arrivals and studied the asymptotic behavior of infinite and finite horizon ruin probabilities under light-tailed conditions on the claims.

When $\lambda(\cdot)$ is non-linear, Zhu [14] obtained the large deviation results for $(N_t/t \in \cdot)$ under certain assumptions. He proved the case when $h(\cdot)$ is exponential first, then generalizes the proof to the case when $h(\cdot)$ is a sum of exponentials and finally uses that to prove the LDP for a special class of general Hawkes process.

In the pioneering work by Donsker and Varadhan [5], they obtained a level-3 large deviation result for certain stationary Markov processes.

We would like to prove the large deviation principle for general Hawkes process by proving a process-level, also known as level-3 large deviation principle first. We can then use the contraction principle to obtain the level-1 large deviation principle for $(N_t/t \in \cdot)$.

Let us define the empirical measure for the process as

$$R_{t,\omega}(A) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \chi_A(\theta_s\omega_t)ds, \tag{1.8}$$

for any A, where $\omega_t(s) = \omega(s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $\omega_t(s+t) = \omega_t(s)$ for any s. Donsker and Varadhan [5] proved that in the case when Ω is a space of càdlàg functions $\omega(\cdot)$ on $-\infty < t < \infty$ endowed with Skorohod topology and taking values in a Polish space X, under certain conditions, $P^{0,x}(R_{t,\omega} \in \cdot)$ satisfies a large deviation principle, where $P^{0,x}$ is a Markov process on Ω_∞^0 with initial value $x \in X$. The rate function $H(Q)$ is some entropy function.

Let $h(\alpha,\beta)_\Sigma$ be the relative entropy of α with respect to β restricted to the σ-algebra Σ. For any $Q \in \mathcal{M}_S(\Omega)$, let Q^{ω^-} be the regular conditional probability distribution of Q. Similarly we define P^{ω^-}.

Let us define the entropy function $H(Q)$ as

$$H(Q) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^Q[h(Q^{\omega^-}, P^{\omega^-})_{\mathcal{F}_1^0}] & \text{if } \mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \tag{1.9}$$

Notice that P^{ω^-} is the Hawkes process conditional on the past history ω^-. It has rate $\lambda(\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,s)\cup\omega^-} h(s-\tau))$ at time $0 \leq s \leq 1$, which is well defined for almost every ω^- under Q if $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty$ since $\mathbb{E}^Q[\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(-\tau)] = \|h\|_{L^1}\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty$ implies $\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(s-\tau) \leq \sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(-\tau) < \infty$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

When $H(Q) < \infty$, $h(Q^{\omega^-}, P^{\omega^-}) < \infty$ for a.e. ω^- under Q, which implies that $Q^{\omega^-} \ll P^{\omega^-}$ on $\mathcal{F}_1^0$. By the theory of absolute continuity of point processes, see for example Chapter 19 of Lipster and Shiryaev [10] or Chapter 13 of Daley and Vere-Jones [3], the compensator of Q^{ω^-} is absolutely continuous, i.e. it has some density $\hat{\lambda}$ say, such that by the Girsanov formula,

$$\begin{aligned} H(Q) &= \int_{\Omega^-} \int \int_0^1 \left[\left(\lambda - \hat{\lambda}\right) ds + \int_0^1 \log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda) dN_s \right] dQ^{\omega^-} Q(d\omega^-) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\lambda - \hat{\lambda}\right) ds + \int_0^1 \log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda) dN_s \right] Q(d\omega), \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda\left(\sum_{\tau \in \omega[0,s] \cup \omega^-} h(s-\tau)\right)$. Both λ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are $\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty}$-measurable for $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *For any open set $G \subset \mathcal{M}_S(\Omega)$,*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left(R_{t,\omega} \in G\right) \geq -\inf_{Q\in G} H(Q), \tag{1.11}$$

and for any closed set $C \subset \mathcal{M}_S(\Omega)$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left(R_{t,\omega} \in C\right) \leq -\inf_{Q\in C} H(Q). \tag{1.12}$$

We will prove the lower bound in Section 2, the upper bound in Section 3 and the superexponential estimates that are needed in the proof of the upper bound in Section 4.

Once we establish the level-3 large deviation result, we can obtain the large deviation principle for $(N_t/t \in \cdot)$ directly by using the contraction principle.

Theorem 2. *$(N_t/t \in \cdot)$ satisfies a large deviation principle with the rate function $I(\cdot)$ given by*

$$I(x) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{M}_S(\Omega), \mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] = x} H(Q). \tag{1.13}$$

Proof. Since $Q \mapsto \mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]$ is continuous, $\int_\Omega N[0,1] dR_{t,\omega}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with the rate function $I(\cdot)$ by the contraction principle. (For a discussion on contraction principle, see for example Varadhan [12].)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega N[0,1] dR_{t,\omega} &= \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t N[0,1](\theta_s\omega_t) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\int_0^{t-1} N[s,s+1](\omega) ds + \frac{1}{t}\int_{t-1}^t N[s,s+1](\omega_t) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Notice that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{t}\int_{t-1}^t N[s,s+1](\omega_t) ds \leq \frac{1}{t}(N[t-1,t](\omega) + N[0,1](\omega)), \tag{1.15}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^{t-1} N[s,s+1](\omega) ds = \frac{1}{t}\left[\int_{t-1}^t N_s(\omega) ds - \int_0^1 N_s(\omega) ds\right] \leq \frac{N_t}{t}, \tag{1.16}$$

and $\frac{1}{t}\int_0^{t-1} N[s,s+1](\omega) ds \geq \frac{N_{t-1}-N_1}{t} = \frac{N_t}{t} - \frac{N[t-1,t]+N_1}{t}$. Hence,

$$\frac{N_t}{t} - \frac{N[t-1,t]+N_1}{t} \leq \int_\Omega N[0,1] dR_{t,\omega} \leq \frac{N_t}{t} + \frac{N[t-1,t]+N_1}{t}. \tag{1.17}$$

For the lower bound, for any open ball $B_\epsilon(x)$ centered at x with radius $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(\frac{N_t}{t}\in B_\epsilon(x)\right) &\geq P\left(\int_\Omega N[0,1]dR_{t,\omega}\in B_{\epsilon/2}(x)\right) \\ &\quad - P\left(\frac{N[t-1,t]}{t}\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) - P\left(\frac{N_1}{t}\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.18}$$

For the upper bound, for any closed set C and $C^\epsilon = \bigcup_{x\in C}\overline{B_\epsilon(x)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(\frac{N_t}{t}\in C\right) &\leq P\left(\int_\Omega N[0,1]dR_{t,\omega}\in C^\epsilon\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\frac{N[t-1,t]}{t}\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) + P\left(\frac{N_1}{t}\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.19}$$

Finally, by Lemma 20, we have the following superexponential estimates

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{N[t-1,t]}{t}\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) = \limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{N_1}{t}\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) = -\infty.\tag{1.20}$$

Hence, for the lower bound, we have

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{N_t}{t}\in B_\epsilon(x)\right)\geq -I(x),\tag{1.21}$$

and for the upper bound, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{N_t}{t}\in C\right)\leq -\inf_{x\in C^\epsilon}I(x),\tag{1.22}$$

which holds for any $\epsilon > 0$. Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, we get the desired result. ☐

2. LOWER BOUND

Lemma 3. *For any* $\lambda, \hat{\lambda} \geq 0$, $\lambda - \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\lambda}\log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda) \geq 0$.

Proof. Write $\lambda - \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\lambda}\log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda) = \hat{\lambda}\left[(\lambda/\hat{\lambda}) - 1 - \log(\lambda/\hat{\lambda})\right]$. Thus, it is sufficient to show that $F(x) = x - 1 - \log x \geq 0$ for any $x \geq 0$. Note that $F(0) = F(\infty) = 0$ and $F'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x} < 0$ when $0 < x < 1$ and $F'(x) > 0$ when $x > 1$ and finally $F(1) = 0$. Hence $F(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \geq 0$. ☐

Lemma 4. *Assume* $H(Q) < \infty$. *Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] \leq C_1 + C_2 H(Q),\tag{2.1}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *are some constants independent of* Q.

Proof. If $H(Q) < \infty$, then $h(Q^{\omega^-}, P^{\omega^-})_{\mathcal{F}_1^0} < \infty$ for a.e. ω^- under Q, which implies that $Q^{\omega^-} \ll P^{\omega^-}$ and thus $\hat{A}_t \ll A_t$, where $\hat{A}_t$ and A_t are the compensators of N_t under Q^{ω^-} and P^{ω^-} respectively. (For the theory of absolute continuity of point processes and Girsanov formula, see for example Lipster and Shiryaev [10] or Daley and Vere-Jones [3].) Since $A_t = \int_0^t \lambda(\omega, s)ds$, we have $\hat{A}_t = \int_0^t \hat{\lambda}(\omega, s)ds$ for some $\hat{\lambda}$. By the Girsanov formula,

$$H(Q) = \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda - \hat{\lambda}ds + \log\left(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda\right)\hat{\lambda}ds\right].\tag{2.2}$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] = \int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} ds dQ$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int\int_0^1 \lambda ds dQ &\leq \epsilon \int\int_0^1 \sum_{\tau<s} h(s-\tau) ds dQ + C_\epsilon \\ &\leq \epsilon \int h(0) N[0,1] dQ + \epsilon \int \sum_{\tau<0} h(-\tau) dQ + C_\epsilon \\ &= \epsilon(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1}) \mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] + C_\epsilon \\ &= \epsilon(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1}) \int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} ds dQ + C_\epsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} \cdot 1_{\hat{\lambda}<K\lambda} ds dQ \leq K\epsilon(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1}) \int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} ds dQ + KC_\epsilon. \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned}H(Q) &\geq \int\int_0^1 \left[\lambda - \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\lambda}\log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda)\right] \cdot 1_{\hat{\lambda}\geq K\lambda} ds dQ \\ &\geq (\log K - 1) \int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} \cdot 1_{\hat{\lambda}\geq K\lambda} ds dQ.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Thus,

$$\int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} ds dQ \leq K\epsilon(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1}) \int\int_0^1 \hat{\lambda} ds dQ + KC_\epsilon + \frac{H(Q)}{\log K - 1}. \tag{2.6}$$

Choose $K > e$ and $\epsilon < \frac{1}{K(h(0)+\|h\|_{L^1})}$. We get

$$\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] \leq \frac{KC_\epsilon}{1 - K\epsilon(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1})} + \frac{H(Q)}{(\log K - 1)K\epsilon(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1})}. \tag{2.7}$$

□

Lemma 5. *If* $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty$, *then*

$$H(Q) = \sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}),0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f) ds + f dN_s\right]. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty$ implies that $\mathbb{E}^{Q^{\omega^-}}[N[0,1]] < \infty$ for almost every ω^- under Q, whicm also implies that $\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(-\tau) < \infty$ since $\mathbb{E}^Q[\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(-\tau)] = \|h\|_{L^1}\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}[N[0,1]] &= \mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}\left[\int_0^1 \lambda\left(\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,s)\cup\omega^-} h(s-\tau)\right) ds\right] \\ &\leq C_\epsilon + \epsilon h(0)\mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}[N[0,1]] + \epsilon \sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(-\tau) < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Pick up $\epsilon < \frac{1}{h(0)}$, we have $\mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}[N[0,1]] < \infty$.

By the theory of absolute continuity of point processes, see for example Chapter 13 of Daley and Vere-Jones [3], if $\mathbb{E}^{Q^{\omega^-}}[N[0,1]], \mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}[N[0,1]] < \infty$, then, $Q^{\omega^-} \ll P^{\omega^-}$ if and only if $\hat{A}_t \ll A_t$, where $\hat{A}_t$ and $A_t = \int_0^t \lambda(\omega^-, \omega, s) ds$ be the compensators of N_t under Q^{ω^-} and P^{ω^-} respectively. If that's the case, we can write $\hat{A}_t = \int_0^t \hat{\lambda}(\omega^-, \omega, s) ds$ for some $\hat{\lambda}$ and there is Girsanov formula

$$\log \frac{dQ^{\omega^-}}{dP^{\omega^-}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_1^0} = \int_0^1 \lambda - \hat{\lambda} + \log\left(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda\right) dN_s, \tag{2.10}$$

which implies that

$$H(Q) = \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda - \hat{\lambda} ds + \log\left(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda\right)\hat{\lambda} ds\right]. \tag{2.11}$$

For any f, $\hat{\lambda} f + (1 - e^f)\lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}\log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda) + \lambda - \hat{\lambda}$ and equality is achieved when $f = \log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda)$. Thus, clearly, we have

$$\sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}), 0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f)ds + f dN_s\right] \leq H(Q). \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, we can always find a sequence f_n convergent to $\log(\hat{\lambda}/\lambda)$ and by Fatou's lemma, we get the other direction.

Now, assume that we do not have $Q^{\omega^-} \ll P^{\omega^-}$ for a.e. ω^- under Q. That implies that $H(Q) = \infty$. We want to show that

$$\sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}), 0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f)ds + f dN_s\right] = \infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Let us assume that

$$\sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}), 0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f)ds + f dN_s\right] < \infty. \tag{2.14}$$

We want to prove that $H(Q) < \infty$.

Let $P_\epsilon^{\omega^-}$ be the point process on $[0, 1]$ with compensator $A_t + \epsilon\hat{A}_t$. Clearly $\hat{A}_t \ll A_t + \epsilon\hat{A}_t$ and $Q^{\omega^-} \ll P_\epsilon^{\omega^-}$.

For any f,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 (1-e^f) d(A_s + \epsilon\hat{A}_s) + f d\hat{A}_s\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 (1-e^f)\chi_{f<0} d(A_s + \epsilon\hat{A}_s) + f\chi_{f<0} d\hat{A}_s\right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 (1-e^f)\chi_{f\geq 0} d(A_s + \epsilon\hat{A}_s) + f\chi_{f\geq 0} d\hat{A}_s\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 d(A_s + \epsilon\hat{A}_s)\right] + \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 (1-e^f)\chi_{f\geq 0} dA_s + f\chi_{f\geq 0} d\hat{A}_s\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 d(A_s + \epsilon\hat{A}_s)\right] + \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 (1-e^{f\chi_{f\geq 0}}) dA_s + f\chi_{f\geq 0} d\hat{A}_s\right] \\
&\leq C_\delta + \delta(h(0) + \|h\|_{L^1})\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] \\
&+ \sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}), 0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f)ds + f dN_s\right] < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, we have

(2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty &> \liminf_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}),0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 (1-e^f)d(A_s+\epsilon\hat{A}_s)+fd\hat{A}_s\right] \\
&= \liminf_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}),0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \left(1-e^f+f\cdot\frac{d\hat{A}_s}{d(A_s+\epsilon\hat{A}_s)}\right)d(A_s+\epsilon\hat{A}_s)\right] \\
&= \liminf_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}^Q[h(Q^{\omega^-},P_\epsilon^{\omega^-})_{\mathcal{F}_1^0}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^Q[h(Q^{\omega^-},P^{\omega^-})_{\mathcal{F}_1^0}] = H(Q),
\end{aligned}$$

by lower semicontinuity of the relative entropy $h(\cdot,\cdot)$ and Fatou's lemma and the fact that $P_\epsilon^{\omega^-}\to P^{\omega^-}$ weakly as $\epsilon\downarrow 0$. Hence $H(Q)<\infty$. □

Lemma 6. *$H(Q)$ is lower semicontinuous and convex in Q.*

Proof. If $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]=\infty$, then by the definition of $H(Q)$, $H(Q)=\infty$. Since $\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}[N[0,1]]\to\infty$, by Lemma 4, $H(Q_n)\to\infty=H(Q)$ as $n\to\infty$. Now assume that $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]<\infty$. By Lemma 5, we can rewrite $H(Q)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
H(Q) &= \sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}),0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f)+\hat{\lambda}fds\right] \\
&= \sup_{f(\omega,s)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}),0\leq s\leq 1} \mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(1-e^f)ds+fdN_s\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Assume $Q_n\to Q$, then $\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}[N[0,1]]\to\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]$ and $Q_n\to Q$ weakly. Since $f(\omega,s)\in C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R})\cap\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty})$, $\int_0^1 f(\omega,s)dN_s$ is continuous on Ω and since f is uniformly bounded, $\int_0^1 f(\omega,s)dN_s\leq\|f\|_{L^\infty}N[0,1]$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}\left[\int_0^1 f(\omega,s)dN_s\right]\to\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 f(\omega,s)dN_s\right]. \tag{2.18}$$

Let $\lambda^M=\lambda\left(\sum_{\tau<s}h^M(s-\tau)\right)$, where $h^M(s)=h(s)\chi_{s\leq M}$. Therefore, $\lambda^M(\omega,s)\in C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R})$ and thus $\int_0^1\lambda^M(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\in C(\Omega)$. Also, $\int_0^1\lambda^M(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\leq K(1+e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}})N[-M,1]$, where $K>0$ is some constant. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}\left[\int_0^1\lambda^M(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right]\to\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1\lambda^M(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right] \tag{2.19}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Next, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1\lambda^M(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right]-\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1\lambda(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right]\right| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^Q(1+e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}})\alpha\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]\int_M^\infty h(s)ds\to 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

as $M\to\infty$. Similarly, we have

(2.21)

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}\left[\int_0^1\lambda^M(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right]-\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}\left[\int_0^1\lambda(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right]\right|=0.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}^{Q_n}\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(\omega,s)(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right] \to \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[\int_0^1 \lambda(\omega,s)(1-e^{f(\omega,s)})ds\right]. \tag{2.22}$$

The supremum is taken over a linear functional of Q, which is continuous in Q, therefore the supremum over these linear functionals will be lower semicontinuous. For any Q_1, Q_2, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we want to prove that $\alpha H(Q_1) + (1-\alpha)H(Q_2) \geq H(\alpha Q_1 + (1-\alpha)Q_2)$. Notice if $\mathbb{E}^{Q_1}[N[0,1]]$ or $\mathbb{E}^{Q_1}[N[0,1]]$ is infinitiy, then both sides in the inequality we want to prove are infinity. Otherwise, we can use the variational formula to see the convexity. Hence $H(Q)$ is convex in Q. □

Lemma 7. *$H(Q)$ is linear in Q.*

Proof. By ergodic theory, (for the details, see Donsker and Varadhan [5]) there exists a version of Q^{ω^-}, say $\hat{Q}^{\omega^-}$ independent of Q such that

$$H(Q) = \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[h(Q^{\omega^-}, P^{\omega^-})_{\mathcal{F}_1^0}\right] = \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[h(\hat{Q}^{\omega^-}, P^{\omega^-})_{\mathcal{F}_1^0}\right], \tag{2.23}$$

which implies that $H(Q)$ is linear in Q. □

Let w_t denote the collection of points up to time t shifted by t such that $w_t \in \Omega^-$.

Remark 8. *At the very beginning of the paper, we defined ω_t. It should not be confused with w_t in this section.*

Lemma 9. *For any $Q \in \mathcal{M}_E(\Omega)$ such that $H(Q) < \infty$ and any open neighborhood N of Q, there exists some K_ℓ^- such that $\emptyset \in K_\ell^-$ and $Q(K_\ell^-) \to 1$ as $\ell \to \infty$ and*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \inf_{w_0 \in K_\ell^-} \log P^{w_0}(R_{t,\omega} \in N, w_t \in K_\ell^-) \geq -H(Q). \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. Let us abuse the notations a bit by defining

$$\lambda(\omega^-) = \lambda\left(\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-,\tau\in\omega[0,s)} h(s-\tau)\right). \tag{2.25}$$

For any $t > 0$, since $\lambda(\cdot) \geq c > 0$ and $\lambda(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz with constant α, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{dP^{\omega^-}}{dP^{w_0}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0} &= \int_0^t \lambda(w_0) - \lambda(\omega^-)ds + \int_0^t \log\left(\frac{\lambda(\omega^-)}{\lambda(w_0)}\right) dN_s \\ &\leq \int_0^t |\lambda(w_0) - \lambda(\omega^-)|ds + \int_0^t \log\left(1 + \frac{|\lambda(w_0) - \lambda(\omega^-)|}{\lambda(w_0)}\right) dN_s \\ &\leq \int_0^t \alpha \sum_{\tau\in\omega^-\cup w_0} h(s-\tau)ds + \int_0^t \frac{\alpha}{c} \sum_{\tau\in\omega^-\cup w_0} h(s-\tau)dN_s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Define

$$K_\ell^- = \{\omega : N[-t,0](\omega) \leq \ell(1+t), \forall t > 0\}. \tag{2.27}$$

By maximal ergodic theorem, letting $[t]$ denote the largest integer less or equal to t, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q((K_\ell^-)^c) &= Q\left(\sup_{t>0}\frac{N[-t,0]}{t+1} > \ell\right) \tag{2.28}\\ &\leq Q\left(\sup_{t>0}\frac{N[-([t]+1),0]}{[t]+1} > \ell\right)\\ &= Q\left(\sup_{n\geq 1, n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{N[-n,0]}{n} > \ell\right)\\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]}{\ell} \to 0 \tag{2.29}\end{aligned}$$

as $\ell\to\infty$. Thus $Q(K_\ell^-)\to 1$ as $\ell\to\infty$.

For any $s>0$ and $\omega^-\in K_\ell^-$, since h is decreasing, $h'\leq 0$, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau\in\omega^-} h(s-\tau) &= \int_0^\infty h(s+\sigma)dN[-\sigma,0] \tag{2.30}\\ &= -\int_0^\infty N[-\sigma,0]h'(s+\sigma)d\sigma\\ &\leq -\int_0^\infty \ell(1+\sigma)h'(s+\sigma)d\sigma\\ &= \ell h(s) + \ell\int_0^\infty h(s+\sigma)d\sigma\\ &= \ell h(s) + \ell H(s),\end{aligned}$$

where $H(t)=\int_t^\infty h(s)ds$.

Therefore, uniformly for $\omega_-, w_0\in K_\ell^-$,

$$\int_0^t \alpha\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-\cup w_0} h(s-\tau)ds \leq 2\ell\alpha\|h\|_{L^1} + 2\ell\alpha u(t), \tag{2.31}$$

where $u(t)=\int_0^t H(s)ds$ and

$$\int_0^t \frac{\alpha}{c}\sum_{\tau\in\omega^-\cup w_0} h(s-\tau)dN_s \leq \frac{2\ell\alpha}{c}\int_0^t (h(s)+H(s))dN_s. \tag{2.32}$$

Define

$$K_{\ell,t}^+ = \left\{\omega : \frac{2\ell\alpha}{c}\int_0^t (h(s)+H(s))dN_s \leq \ell^2(\|h\|_{L^1}+u(t))\right\}. \tag{2.33}$$

Then, uniformly in $t>0$,

$$Q((K_{\ell,t}^+)^c) \leq \frac{2\alpha\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]}{c\cdot\ell} \to 0, \tag{2.34}$$

as $\ell\to\infty$. Thus $\inf_{t>0} Q(K_{\ell,t}^+)\to 1$ as $\ell\to\infty$.

Hence, uniformly for $\omega_{-}, w_0 \in K_{\ell}^{-}$ and $\omega \in K_{\ell,t}^{+}$,

$$\log \frac{dP^{\omega^{-}}}{dP^{w_0}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0} \leq 2\ell\alpha\|h\|_{L^1} + 2\ell\alpha u(t) + \ell^2(\|h\|_{L^1} + u(t)) \tag{2.35}$$

$$= C_1(\ell) + C_2(\ell)u(t), \tag{2.36}$$

where $C_1(\ell) = 2\ell\alpha\|h\|_{L^1} + \ell^2\|h\|_{L^1}$ and $C_2(\ell) = 2\ell\alpha + \ell^2$.

Observe that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{u(t)}{t} = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t H(s)ds = 0. \tag{2.37}$$

Let $D_t = \{R_{t,\omega} \in N, w_t \in K_{\ell}^{-}\}$.

Uniformly for $w_0 \in K_{\ell,t}^{-}$,

(2.38)

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{w_0}(D_t) \\
&\geq e^{-t(H(Q)+\epsilon)-C_1(\ell)-C_2(\ell)u(t)} \\
&\cdot Q\left[D_t \cap \left\{\frac{1}{t}\log \frac{dP^{\omega^{-}}}{dQ^{\omega^{-}}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0} \leq H(Q)+\epsilon\right\} \cap \left\{\log \frac{dP^{\omega^{-}}}{dP^{w_0}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0} \leq C_1(\ell) + C_2(\ell)u(t)\right\}\right] \\
&\geq e^{-t(H(Q)+\epsilon)-C_1(\ell)-C_2(\ell)u(t)} \cdot Q\left[D_t \cap \left\{\frac{1}{t}\log \frac{dP^{\omega^{-}}}{dQ^{\omega^{-}}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0} \leq H(Q)+\epsilon\right\} \cap \{K_{\ell,t}^{+} \cap K_{\ell}^{-}\}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Q \in \mathcal{M}_E(\Omega)$, by ergodic theorem,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} Q(R_{t,\omega} \in N) = 1, \tag{2.39}$$

and by observing that for $\psi(\omega, t) = \log \frac{dQ^{\omega}}{dP^{\omega}}|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0}$,

$$\psi(\omega, t+s) = \psi(\omega, t) + \psi(\theta_t\omega, s), \quad \mathbb{E}^Q[\psi(\omega, t)] = tH(Q), \tag{2.40}$$

for almost every ω^{-} under Q,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\log \frac{dP^{\omega^{-}}}{dQ^{\omega^{-}}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0} = H(Q). \tag{2.41}$$

Since Q is stationary, $Q(w_t \in K_{\ell}^{-}) \geq Q(K_{\ell}^{-}) \to 1$ as $\ell \to \infty$. Also, $Q(K_{\ell,t}^{+}) \geq \inf_{t>0} Q(K_{\ell,t}^{+}) \to 1$ as $\ell \to \infty$. Remember that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{u(t)}{t} = 0$. By choosing ℓ big enough, we conclude that

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \inf_{w_0 \in K_{\ell}^{-}} \log P^{w_0}(R_{t,\omega} \in N, w_t \in K_{\ell}^{-}) \geq -H(Q) - \epsilon. \tag{2.42}$$

Since it holds for any $\epsilon > 0$, we get the desired result. ☐

Theorem 10 (Lower Bound)**.** *For any open set G,*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega} \in G) \geq -\inf_{Q\in G} H(Q). \tag{2.43}$$

Proof. It is sufficent to prove that for any $Q \in \mathcal{M}_S(\Omega)$, $H(Q) < \infty$, for any neighborhood N of Q, $\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(R_{t,\omega} \in N) \geq -H(Q)$. Since for every invariance measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_S$, there exists a probability measure μ_P on the space $\mathcal{M}_E$ of ergodic measures such that $P = \int_{\mathcal{M}_E} Q\mu_P(dQ)$, for any $Q \in \mathcal{M}_S(\Omega)$ such that $H(Q) < \infty$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j Q_j$, where $\alpha_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j = 1$. By linearity of $H(\cdot)$, $H(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j H(Q_j)$. Divide the interval $[0, t]$ into subintervals of length $\alpha_j t$ and let t_j, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ be the right hand endpoints of these subintervals and let $t_0 = 0$. For each Q_j, take K_M^- as in Lemma 9. We have $\min_{1\leq j\leq \ell} Q_j(K_M^-) \to 1$, as $M \to \infty$. Choose neighborhoods N_j of Q_j, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j N_j \subseteq N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\emptyset}(R_{t,\omega} \in N) &\geq P^{\emptyset}(R_{t_1,\omega} \in N_1, w_{t_1} \in K_M^-) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \inf_{w_0 \in K^-_{t_{j-1}-t_{j-2}}} P^{\omega_0}(R_{t_j - t_{j-1},\omega} \in N_j, w_{t_j - t_{j-1}} \in K_M^-). \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Now, applying Lemma 9 and the linearity of $H(\cdot)$,

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P^{\emptyset}(R_{t,\omega} \in N) \geq -\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j H(Q_j) = -H(Q). \tag{2.45}$$

□

3. UPPER BOUND

Remark 11. *By following the same argument as in Donsker and Varadhan* [5]*, if* $\omega^- \mapsto P^{\omega^-}$ *is weakly continuous, then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(R_{t,\omega} \in A) \leq -\inf_{Q\in A} H(Q), \tag{3.1}$$

for any compact A*. If the Hawkes process has finite range of memory, i.e.* $h(\cdot)$ *has compact support and is continuous, then, for any* $a < b$*, if* $\omega_n^- \to \omega^-$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_a^b \lambda(\omega_n^-, \omega, s) ds - \int_a^b \lambda(\omega^-, \omega, s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \alpha \int_a^b \left| \sum_{\tau \in \omega_n^-} h(s - \tau) - \sum_{\tau \in \omega^-} h(s - \tau) \right| ds \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

as $n \to \infty$*, which implies that* $P^{\omega_n^-} \to P^{\omega^-}$*.*

If the Hawkes process does not have finite range of memory, then, we should use the specific features of the Hawkes process to obtain the upper bound.

Before we proceed, let us prove an easy but very useful lemma that we will usely repeatedly in the proofs of the estimates in this paper.

Lemma 12. *Let* $f(\omega, s)$ *be* $\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty}$ *progressively measurable. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t f(\omega,s)dN_s}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t (e^{2f(\omega,s)}-1)\lambda(\omega,s)ds}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Since $\exp\left\{\int_0^t 2f(\omega,s)dN_s - \int_0^t (e^{2f(\omega,s)}-1)\lambda(\omega,s)ds\right\}$ is a martingales, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t f(\omega,s)dN_s}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t 2f(\omega,s)dN_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t(e^{2f(\omega,s)}-1)\lambda(\omega,s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t(e^{2f(\omega,s)}-1)\lambda(\omega,s)ds}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t(e^{2f(\omega,s)}-1)\lambda(\omega,s)ds}\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

□

Let us define $\mathcal{C}_T$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_T = \left\{F(\omega) = \int_0^T f(\omega,s)dN_s - \int_0^T (e^{f(\omega,s)}-1)\lambda(\omega,s)ds, f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^0) \cap C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})\right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Here $\lambda(\omega,s)$ is $\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty}$ progressively measurable and $f(\omega,s) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_s^0) \cap C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ means that it is $\mathcal{F}_s^0$ progressively measurable and bounded and continuous.

Lemma 13. *For any $T > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}_T$, we have, for any $t > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds}\right] \leq 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For any $t > 0$, writing $\psi(s) = \sum_{k:s+kT\leq t} F(\theta_{s+kT}\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds}\right] &= \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \psi(s)ds}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\psi(s)}\right]ds = 1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

by Jensen's inequality and the fact that $\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\psi(s)}\right] = 1$ by iteratively conditioning since $\mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}\left[e^{F(\omega)}\right] = 1$ for any ω^-. □

Remark 14. *Under $P^{\emptyset}$, the $\mathcal{F}_s^{-\infty}$ progressively measurable rate function λ is well defined since it only creates a history between time 0 and time t. Similary, in the proof in Lemma 13, $\mathbb{E}^{P^{\omega^-}}\left[e^{F(\omega)}\right] = 1$ for any ω^- should be interpreted as the expectation is 1 given any history created between time 0 and t, which is well defined.*

Next, we need to compare $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds$ and $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds$.

Lemma 15. *For any $q > 0$, $T > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}_T$,*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[\exp\left\{q\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds - \frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds\right|\right\}\right] = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof.

(3.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds\right| \\
&\leq\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega)dN_u ds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)dN_u ds\right| \\
&+\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T (e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega)}-1)\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T (e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}-1)\lambda(\theta_s\omega_t,u)duds\right|.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega)dN_u ds$ is $\mathcal{F}^s_{s+T}$-measurable and

$$
\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega)dN_u ds=\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)dN_u ds \tag{3.10}
$$

for any $0\leq s\leq t-T$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega)dN_u ds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)dN_u ds\right| \\
&\leq\frac{1}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T |f(u,\theta_s\omega)|dN_u ds+\frac{1}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T |f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)|dN_u ds \\
&\leq\frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{T}\int_{t-T}^t N[s,s+T](\omega)ds+\frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{T}\int_{t-T}^t N[s,s+T](\omega_t)ds \\
&\leq\frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{T}\left[N[t-T,t+T](\omega)+N[t-T,t+T](\omega_t)\right] \\
&=\frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{T}\left[N[t-T,t+T](\omega)+N[t-T,T](\omega)+N[0,T](\omega)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}
$$

By using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 20, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{t\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^\emptyset}\left[e^{\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega)dN_u ds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)dN_u ds\right|}\right] \\
&\leq\limsup_{t\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^\emptyset}\left[e^{\frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{T}[N[t-T,t+T](\omega)+N[t-T,T](\omega)+N[0,T](\omega)]}\right]=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}
$$

Furthermore,

(3.13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T (e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega)}-1)\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T (e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}-1)\lambda(\theta_s\omega_t,u)duds\right| \\
&\leq\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T\left|e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega)}-e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}\right|\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds \\
&+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T (e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}-1)\left|\lambda(\theta_s\omega_t,u)-\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)\right|duds.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first term

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T\left|e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega)}-e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}\right|\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds \\
&=\frac{1}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T\left|e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega)}-e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}\right|\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds \\
&\leq\frac{2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds \\
&=\frac{2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T\lambda\left(\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,u+s)}h(u+s-\tau)\right)duds \\
&\leq 2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}TC_\epsilon+\frac{2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}}{T}\epsilon\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,u+s)}h(u+s-\tau)duds \\
&\leq 2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}TC_\epsilon+\frac{2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}}{T}\epsilon\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T N[0,u+s]h(0)duds \\
&\leq 2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}TC_\epsilon+2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}\epsilon\int_{t-T}^t N[0,s+T]h(0)ds \\
&\leq 2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}TC_\epsilon+2e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}\epsilon T(N[0,t]+N[t,t+T])h(0).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^\emptyset}\left[e^{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T\left|e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega)}-e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}\right|\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)duds}\right]\leq c(\epsilon),
\tag{3.15}
$$

where $c(\epsilon)\to 0$ as $\epsilon\to 0$. Since it is bounded above for any $c(\epsilon)$, it is bounded above by 0.

For the second term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T(e^{f(u,\theta_s\omega_t)}-1)\left|\lambda(\theta_s\omega_t,u)-\lambda(\theta_s\omega,u)\right|duds \\
&\leq\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T\alpha\left|\sum_{\tau\in\omega_t[0,u+s)\cup(\omega_t)^-}h(u+s-\tau)-\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,u+s)}h(u+s-\tau)\right|duds \\
&\leq\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T\alpha\sum_{\tau\in\omega_t[0,u+s)}h(u+s-\tau)duds \\
&+\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\int_{t-T}^t\int_0^T\alpha\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,u+s)}h(u+s-\tau)duds \\
&+\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T\alpha\sum_{\tau\in(\omega_t)^-}h(u+s-\tau)duds
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.16}
$$

Assume that $h(\cdot)$ is decreasing and $\lim_{z\to\infty}\frac{\lambda(z)}{z}=0$. By applying Jensen's inequality twice, we can estimate the second term above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\alpha\int_{t-T}^{t}\int_0^T\int_0^{u+s}h(u+s-v)dN_v duds}\right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T}\int_{t-T}^{t}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{(e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1)\alpha\int_0^T\int_0^{u+s}h(u+s-v)dN_v du}\right]ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T^2}\int_{t-T}^{t}\int_0^T\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{(e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1)\alpha T\int_0^{u+s}h(u+s-v)dN_v}\right]duds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T^2}\int_{t-T}^{t}\int_0^T\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^{u+s}C(\alpha,T,h)\lambda(v)dv}\right]^{1/2}duds \\
&\leq \frac{e^{C(\alpha,T,h)C_\epsilon}}{T^2}\int_{t-T}^{t}\int_0^T\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\epsilon C(\alpha,T,h)N[0,u+s]h(0)}\right]^{1/2}duds \\
&\leq e^{C(\alpha,T,h)C_\epsilon}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\epsilon C(\alpha,T,h)N[0,t+T]h(0)}\right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}
$$

where $C(\alpha,T,h)=\exp(2(e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1)\alpha Th(0))-1$. Thus,

$$
\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\alpha\int_{t-T}^{t}\int_0^T\int_0^{u+s}h(u+s-v)dN_v duds}\right]=0. \tag{3.18}
$$

Similarly, we can estimate the first term.

For the third term, by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{e^{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}+1}{T}\int_0^t\int_0^T\alpha\sum_{\tau\in(\omega_t)^-}h(u+s-\tau)duds}\right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\alpha(\exp(\|f\|_{L^\infty})+1)\int_0^t\sum_{\tau\in(\omega_t)^-}h(u+s-\tau)ds}\right]du \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\alpha(\exp(\|f\|_{L^\infty})+1)\int_0^t\sum_{\tau\in(\omega_t)^-}h(s-\tau)ds}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\alpha(\exp(\|f\|_{L^\infty})+1)\int_0^t\int_0^t\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h(s+kt+t-u)dN_u ds}\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.19}
$$

Since we assume that $h(\cdot)$ is decreasing, $\int_{kt}^{(k+1)t}h(s)ds\geq th((k+1)t)$. Thus

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h(s+kt+t-u)\leq h(s+t-u)+\frac{1}{t}\int_{s+t-u}^{\infty}h(v)dv. \tag{3.20}
$$

Let $C(\alpha,f)=\alpha(\exp(\|f\|_{L^\infty})+1)$ and $H(t)=\int_t^\infty h(s)ds$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\alpha(\exp(\|f\|_{L^\infty})+1)\int_0^t\int_0^t\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h(s+kt+t-u)dN_u ds}\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{C(\alpha,f)\int_0^t\int_0^t\frac{1}{t}H(s+t-u)dN_u ds+C(\alpha,f)\int_0^t\left[\int_0^t h(s+t-u)ds\right]dN_u}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t\left[\frac{C(\alpha,f)}{t}\int_0^t H(s+t-u)ds\right]dN_u+\int_0^t\left[\int_0^t C(\alpha,f)h(s+t-u)ds\right]dN_u}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

Notice that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t\left[\frac{C(\alpha,f)}{t}\int_0^t H(s+t-u)ds\right]dN_u}\right]\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\left[\frac{C(\alpha,f)}{t}\int_0^t H(s)ds\right]N_t}\right], \tag{3.22}
$$

where $\frac{C(\alpha,f)}{t}\int_0^t H(s)ds \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, which implies that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t\left[\frac{C(\alpha,f)}{t}\int_0^t H(s+u)ds\right]dN_u}\right]=0. \tag{3.23}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t\left[\int_0^t C(\alpha,f)h(s+t-u)ds\right]dN_u}\right]\\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t(e^{2\int_0^t C(\alpha,f)h(s+t-u)ds}-1)\lambda(u)du}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}C_\epsilon\int_0^t(e^{2\int_0^t C(\alpha,f)h(s+t-u)ds}-1)du}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t(e^{\int_0^t 2C(\alpha,f)h(s+t-u)ds}-1)\epsilon\sum_{\tau<u}h(u-\tau)du}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}C_\epsilon\int_0^t(e^{2C(\alpha,f)H(t-u)}-1)du}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t(e^{2C(\alpha,f)\|h\|_{L^1}}-1)\epsilon\sum_{\tau<u}h(u-\tau)du}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}C_\epsilon\int_0^t(e^{2C(\alpha,f)H(u)}-1)du}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\epsilon(e^{2C(\alpha,f)\|h\|_{L^1}}-1)\|h\|_{L^1}N_t}\right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Notice that it holds for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t(e^{2C(\alpha,f)H(u)}-1)du \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, which implies that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t\left[\int_0^t C(\alpha,f)h(s+t-u)ds\right]dN_u}\right]=0. \tag{3.25}$$

Putting all these together and applying Hölder's inequality several times, we get, for any $q > 0$, $T > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}_T$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[\exp\left\{q\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds\right|\right\}\right]=0. \tag{3.26}$$

□

Lemma 16. *Assume that* $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]] < \infty$. *Then, we have*

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\sup_{F\in\mathcal{C}_T}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega)\geq H(Q). \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. Assume $H(Q) < \infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some f_ϵ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 f_\epsilon dN_s-\int_0^1(e^{f_\epsilon}-1)\lambda ds\right]\geq H(Q)-\epsilon. \tag{3.28}$$

We can find a sequence $f_T \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{F}_s^{-(T-1)}\right)\cap C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}) \to f_\epsilon$ as $T \to \infty$ and by Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\sup_{F\in\mathcal{C}_T}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega)\\
&\geq\liminf_{T\to\infty}\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 f_T dN_s-\int_0^1(e^{f_T}-1)\lambda ds\right]\geq H(Q)-\epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

If $H(Q) = \infty$, then, for any $M > 0$, there exists some f_M such that

$$\mathbb{E}^Q\left[\int_0^1 f_M dN_s-\int_0^1(e^{f_M}-1)\lambda ds\right]\geq M. \tag{3.30}$$

Repeat the same argument as in the case that $H(Q) < \infty$. □

Lemma 17. *For any compact set A,*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in A)\leq-\inf_{Q\in A}H(Q). \tag{3.31}$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{N[0,t]}\right]\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{(e^2-1)\int_0^t\lambda(s)ds}\right]^{1/2}\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{(e^2-1)\epsilon h(0)N[0,t]+C_\epsilon(e^2-1)}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{3.32}$$

By choosing $\epsilon>0$ small enough, we have $\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}[e^{N[0,t]}]\leq e^{Ct}$ for some constant $C>0$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{\ell\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P^{\emptyset}\left(N[0,t]>\ell t\right)=-\infty, \tag{3.33}$$

which implies (by comparing $\int_\Omega N[0,1]dR_{t,\omega}$ and $N[0,t]/t$ and the superexponential estimates in Lemma 20)

$$\limsup_{\ell\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P^{\emptyset}\left(\int_\Omega N[0,1]dR_{t,\omega}>\ell\right)=-\infty. \tag{3.34}$$

Therefore, we only need to consider the compact set A such that for any $Q\in A$, $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]<\infty$.

Now for any A compact consisting of Q with $\mathbb{E}^Q[N[0,1]]<\infty$ and for any $F\in\mathcal{C}_T$ and for any $p,q>1$, $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, by Hölder's inequality, by Chebychev's inequality and Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{\emptyset}(R_{t,\omega}\in A)\\
&\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{pT}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds}\right]\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{t}{pT}\inf_{Q\in A}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega)\right\}\\
&\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds}\right]^{1/p}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{q}{pT}\left|\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds\right|}\right]^{1/q}\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{t}{pT}\inf_{Q\in A}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega)\right\}\\
&\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\frac{q}{pT}\left|\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\int_0^t F(\theta_s\omega)ds\right|}\right]^{1/q}\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{t}{pT}\inf_{Q\in A}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega)\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

By Lemma 15, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P^{\emptyset}(R_{t,\omega}\in A)\leq-\frac{1}{p}\inf_{Q\in A}\frac{1}{T}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega). \tag{3.36}$$

Since it holds for any $p>1$, we get

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P^{\emptyset}(R_{t,\omega}\in A)\leq-\inf_{Q\in A}\frac{1}{T}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega). \tag{3.37}$$

For any compact A, given $Q\in A$ and $\epsilon>0$, by Lemma 16, there exists $T_Q>0$ and $F_Q\in\mathcal{C}_{T_Q}$ such that $\frac{1}{T_Q}\int_\Omega F_Q(\omega)Q(d\omega)\geq\inf_{A\in Q}H(Q)-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Since the linear integral is a continuous functional of Q (see the proof of Lemma 6), there exists a neighborhood G_Q of Q such that $\frac{1}{T_Q}\int_\Omega F_Q(\omega)Q(d\omega)\geq\inf_{A\in Q}H(Q)-\epsilon$ for all $Q\in G_Q$. Since A is compact, there exists $G_{Q_1},\ldots,G_{Q_\ell}$ such that $A\subset\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell}G_{Q_j}$. Hence

$$\inf_{1\leq j\leq\ell}\sup_{T>0}\sup_{F\in\mathcal{C}_T}\inf_{Q\in G_j}\frac{1}{T}\int_\Omega F(\omega)Q(d\omega)\geq\inf_{Q\in A}H(Q)-\epsilon. \tag{3.38}$$

Note that for any A, B,

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in A\cup B) \\ &\leq \max\left\{\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in A), \limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in B)\right\}. \end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

Thus, for $A\subset\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell}G_j$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in A)\leq -\inf_{1\leq j\leq\ell}\sup_{T>0}\sup_{F\in\mathcal{C}_T}\inf_{Q\in G_j}\frac{1}{T}\int F(\omega)Q(d\omega).\tag{3.40}$$

Hence $\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in A)\leq -\inf_{Q\in A}H(Q)$ for any compact A. □

Theorem 18 (Upper Bound)**.** *For any closed set C,*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in C)\leq -\inf_{Q\in C}H(Q).\tag{3.41}$$

Proof. For any close set C and compact $\mathcal{A}^n$ which is defined in Lemma 25, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in C) \\ &\leq \max\left\{\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in C\cap\mathcal{A}^n), \limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in(\mathcal{A}^n)^c)\right\}. \end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$

Since $C\cap\mathcal{A}_n$ is compact, by Lemma 17,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in C\cap\mathcal{A}^n)\leq -\inf_{Q\in C\cap\mathcal{A}^n}H(Q)\leq -\inf_{Q\in C}H(Q).\tag{3.43}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 24, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in(\mathcal{A}^n)^c) \\ &=\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(R_{t,\omega}\in\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}^c_{\frac{1}{j},j,j}\right) \\ &\leq\max_{j\geq n}\max\left\{\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t\chi_{N[0,1]\geq j}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds\geq\varepsilon(j)\right),\right. \\ &\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t\chi_{N[0,1/j]\geq 2}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds\geq(1/j)g(1/j)\right), \\ &\left.\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds\geq m(\ell)\right)\right\}\to-\infty \end{aligned}\tag{3.44}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Hence, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P(R_{t,\omega}\in C)\leq -\inf_{Q\in C}H(Q).\tag{3.45}$$

□

4. SUPEREXPONENTIAL ESTIMATES

In order to get the full large deviation principle, we need the upper bound inequality true for any closed set instead of for any compact set, which requires some superexponential estimates.

Lemma 19. *For any* $q > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{q\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s}\right]=0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{q\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s}\right]&\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\int_0^t(e^{2qh(t-s)}-1)\lambda(\sum_{0<\tau<s}h(s-\tau))ds}\right]^{1/2}\\&\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{(C_\epsilon+h(0)\epsilon N_t)\int_0^t(e^{2qh(t-s)}-1)ds}\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\int_0^t(e^{2qh(t-s)}-1)ds=\int_0^t(e^{2qh(s)}-1)ds\in L_1$ since $h\in L^1$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{q\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s}\right]\leq c(\epsilon), \tag{4.3}$$

where $c(\epsilon)\to 0$ as $\epsilon\to 0$. Since it holds for any ϵ, we get the desired result. ☐

Lemma 20. *For any* $q>0$ *and* $T>0$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{qN[t,t+T]}\right]=0. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P^{\emptyset}\left(N[t,t+T]\geq\epsilon t\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. By using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{qN[t,t+T]}\right]&\leq\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{(e^{2q}-1)\int_t^{t+T}\lambda(\sum_{0<\tau<s}h(s-\tau))ds}\right]^{1/2}\\&\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^{2q}-1)C_\epsilon T}\cdot\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{\epsilon(e^{2q}-1)h(0)N[t,t+T]+\epsilon(e^{2q}-1)\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s}\right]^{1/2}\\&\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^{2q}-1)C_\epsilon T}\cdot\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{2\epsilon(e^{2q}-1)h(0)N[t,t+T]}\right]^{1/4}\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{2\epsilon(e^{2q}-1)\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s}\right]^{1/4}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Choose $\epsilon<q[2(e^{2q}-1)h(0)]^{-1}$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{qN[t,t+T]}\right]^{3/4}\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^{2q}-1)C_\epsilon T}\cdot\mathbb{E}^{P^{\emptyset}}\left[e^{2\epsilon(e^{2q}-1)\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s}\right]^{1/4}. \tag{4.7}$$

By Lemma 19, we get the desired result. ☐

Lemma 21. *We have the following superexponential estimates.*

(i) For any $\epsilon>0$,

$$\limsup_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{\delta t}\int_0^t\chi_{N[0,\delta]\geq 2}(\theta_s\omega)ds\geq\epsilon\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.8}$$

(ii) For any $\epsilon>0$,

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t\chi_{N[0,1]\geq M}(\theta_s\omega)ds\geq\epsilon\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.9}$$

(iii) For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\ell\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega)ds\geq\epsilon\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. (i) Let us define

$$N_{\ell'}[0,t]=\int_0^t\chi_{\lambda(s)<\ell'}dN_s,\quad \hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]=\int_0^t\chi_{\lambda(s)\geq\ell'}dN_s. \tag{4.11}$$

Then $N[0,t]=N_{\ell'}[0,t]+\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]$ and $N_{\ell'}[0,t]$ has compensator $\int_0^t\lambda(s)\chi_{\lambda(s)<\ell'}ds$ and $\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]$ has compensator $\int_0^t\lambda(s)\chi_{\lambda(s)\geq\ell'}ds$. Notice that

$$\chi_{N[0,\delta]\geq 2}\leq\chi_{N_{\ell'}[0,\delta]\geq 2}+\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,\delta]\geq 1}. \tag{4.12}$$

It is clear that $N_{\ell'}$ is dominated by the usual Poisson process with rate ℓ'. By Lemma 22,

$$\limsup_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{\delta t}\int_0^t\chi_{N_{\ell'}[0,\delta]\geq 2}(\theta_s\omega)ds\geq\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.13}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^t\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,\delta]\geq 1}(\theta_s\omega)ds&=\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^t\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+\delta]\geq 1}(\omega)ds\\&\leq\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^t\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+\delta]ds\\&=\frac{1}{\delta}\int_\delta^{t+\delta}\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,s]ds-\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^t\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,s]ds\\&\leq\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]+N[t,t+\delta].\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

By Lemma 20, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}N[t,t+\delta]\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)=-\infty, \tag{4.15}$$

for any $\delta>0$. Hence

$$\limsup_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}N[t,t+\delta]\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.16}$$

Finally, for some positive $h(\ell')$ to be chosen later,

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(\frac{1}{t}\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)&\leq\mathbb{E}\left[e^{h(\ell')\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]}\right]e^{-th(\ell')\epsilon/4}\\&\leq\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^{2h(\ell')}-1)\int_0^t\lambda(s)\chi_{\lambda(s)\geq\ell'}ds}\right]^{1/2}e^{-th(\ell')\epsilon/4}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Let $f(z)=\frac{z}{\lambda(z)}$. Then $f(z)\to\infty$ as $z\to\infty$. Let $Z_s=\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,s]}h(s-\tau)$. Then, by the definition of $\lambda(s)$ and abusing the notation a little bit, we see that $\lambda(s)=\lambda(Z_s)$. Since $\lambda(\cdot)$ is increasing, its inverse function λ^{-1} exists and $\lambda^{-1}(\ell')\to\infty$ as $\ell'\to\infty$.

We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^{2h(\ell')}-1)\int_0^t\lambda(s)\chi_{\lambda(s)\geq\ell'}ds}\right]^{1/2}\leq\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^{2h(\ell')}-1)\int_0^t\lambda(Z_s)\chi_{Z_s\geq\lambda^{-1}(\ell')}ds}\right]^{1/2} \tag{4.18}$$

$$\leq\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^{2h(\ell')}-1)\int_0^t\lambda(Z_s)\frac{f(Z_s)}{\inf_{z\geq\ell'}f(\lambda^{-1}(z))}ds}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{4.19}$$

It is clear that $\lim_{\ell'\to\infty}\inf_{z\geq\ell'}f(\lambda^{-1}(z))=\infty$. Choose

$$h(\ell')=\frac{1}{2}\log\left[\inf_{z\geq\ell'}f(\lambda^{-1}(z))+1\right]. \tag{4.20}$$

Then $h(\ell')\to\infty$ as $\ell'\to\infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^{2h(\ell')}-1)\int_0^t\lambda(Z_s)\frac{f(Z_s)}{\inf_{z\geq\ell'}f(\lambda^{-1}(z))}ds}\right]^{1/2}&=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t Z_s ds}\right]^{1/2}\\&=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t\sum_{\tau\in\omega[0,s]}h(s-\tau)ds}\right]^{1/2}\\&\leq\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\|h\|_{L^1}N_t}\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{\ell'\to\infty}\limsup_{\delta\to0}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.22}$$

(ii) It is easy to see that (iii) implies (ii).

(iii) Observe first that

$$\begin{aligned}N[s,s+1]\chi_{N[s,s+1]\geq\ell}\leq{}&N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\chi_{N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}+\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}\\&+\frac{\ell}{2}\chi_{N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}+\frac{\ell}{2}\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

For the first term, notice that $N_{\ell'}$ is dominated by a usual Poisson process with rate ℓ'. Thus, by Lemma 23,

$$\limsup_{\ell\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\chi_{N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}(\omega)ds\geq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.24}$$

For the second term, $\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}\leq\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]$ and

$$\int_0^t\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]ds\leq\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]+N[t,t+1]. \tag{4.25}$$

By Lemma 20,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}N[t,t+1]\geq\frac{\epsilon}{8}\right)=-\infty, \tag{4.26}$$

and by the same argument as in (i),

$$\limsup_{\ell'\to\infty}\limsup_{\ell\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\hat{N}_{\ell'}[0,t]\geq\frac{\epsilon}{8}\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.27}$$

For the third term, notice that

$$\int_0^t \frac{\ell}{2}\chi_{N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}ds \leq \int_0^t N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\chi_{N_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}(\omega)ds. \tag{4.28}$$

We can get the same superexponential estimate as before. Finally, for the fourth term,

$$\int_0^t \frac{\ell}{2}\chi_{\hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1]\geq\frac{\ell}{2}}ds \leq \int_0^t \hat{N}_{\ell'}[s,s+1](\omega)ds. \tag{4.29}$$

We can get the same superexponential estimate as before. □

Lemma 22. *Assume N_t is a usual Poisson process with constant rate λ. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\limsup_{\delta\rightarrow 0}\limsup_{t\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\delta t}\int_0^t \chi_{N[s,s+\delta]\geq 2}(\omega)ds \geq \epsilon\right) = -\infty. \tag{4.30}$$

Proof. Let $f(\delta,\omega) = \frac{1}{h(\delta)}\chi_{N[0,\delta]\geq 2}(\omega)$, where $h(\delta)$ is to be chosen later. By Jensen's inequality and stationarity and independence of increments of Poisson process, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t \frac{1}{\delta}f(\delta,\theta_s\omega)ds}\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \sum_{j=0}^{[t/\delta]} f(\delta,\theta_{s+j\delta}\omega)ds}\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta e^{\sum_{j=0}^{[t/\delta]} f(\delta,\theta_{s+j\delta}\omega)}ds\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sum_{j=0}^{[t/\delta]} f(\delta,\theta_{j\delta}\omega)}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{f(\delta,\omega)}\right]^{[t/\delta]+1} \\
&= \left\{e^{1/h(\delta)}(1-e^{-\lambda\delta}-\lambda\delta e^{-\lambda\delta}) + e^{-\lambda\delta} + \lambda\delta e^{-\lambda\delta}\right\}^{[t/\delta]+1} \\
&\leq (M'e^{1/h(\delta)}\lambda^2\delta^2+1)^{[t/\delta]+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

for some $M' > 0$. Choose $h(\delta) = \frac{1}{\log(1/\delta)}$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^t \frac{1}{\delta}f(\delta,\theta_s\omega)ds}\right] \leq (M'\delta+1)^{[t/\delta]+1} \leq e^{Mt}, \tag{4.32}$$

for some $M > 0$. Therefore, by Chebychev's inequality,

$$\limsup_{t\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\delta h(\delta)t}\int_0^t \chi_{N[s,s+\delta]\geq 2}(\omega)ds \geq \frac{\epsilon}{h(\delta)}\right) \leq M - \frac{\epsilon}{h(\delta)}, \tag{4.33}$$

which holds for any $\delta > 0$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get the desired result. □

Lemma 23. *Assume N_t is a usual Poisson process with constant rate λ. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{\ell\rightarrow\infty}\limsup_{t\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega)ds \geq \epsilon\right) = -\infty. \tag{4.34}$$

Proof. Let $h(\ell)$ be some function of ℓ to be chosen later. Following the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 22, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(h(\ell)\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega)ds \geq \epsilon h(\ell)t\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{h(\ell)\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega)ds}\right]e^{-\epsilon h(\ell)t} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{h(\ell)N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}}\right]^{[t]+1}e^{-\epsilon h(\ell)t} \\
&= \left\{\mathbb{P}(N[0,1]<\ell)+\sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty}e^{h(\ell)k}e^{-\lambda}\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}\right\}^{[t]+1}e^{-\epsilon h(\ell)t} \\
&\leq \left\{1+C_1\sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty}e^{h(\ell)k+\log(\lambda)k-\log(k)k}\right\}^{[t]+1}e^{-\epsilon h(\ell)t} \\
&\leq \left\{1+C_2e^{h(\ell)\ell+\log(\lambda)\ell-\log(\ell)\ell}\right\}^{[t]+1}e^{-\epsilon h(\ell)t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Choosing $h(\ell)=(\log(\ell))^{1/2}$ will do the work. □

Lemma 24. *We have the following superexponential estimates.*

(i) For some $g(\delta)\to 0$ as $\delta\to 0$,

$$\limsup_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{\delta t}\int_0^t \chi_{N[0,\delta]\geq 2}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds \geq g(\delta)\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.36}$$

(ii) For some $\varepsilon(M)\to 0$ as $M\to\infty$,

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \chi_{N[0,1]\geq M}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds \geq \varepsilon(M)\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.37}$$

(iii) For some $m(\ell)\to 0$ as $\ell\to\infty$,

$$\limsup_{\ell\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds \geq m(\ell)\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.38}$$

Proof. We can replace the ϵ in the statement of Lemma 21 by $g(\delta)$, $\varepsilon(M)$ and $m(\ell)$ by a standard analysis argument. We can also replace the ω in Lemma 21 by ω_t here since

$$\left|\int_0^t \chi_{N[0,\delta]\geq 2}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\int_0^t \chi_{N[0,\delta]\geq 2}(\theta_s\omega)ds\right|\leq 2\delta, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\left|\int_0^t \chi_{N[0,1]\geq M}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\int_0^t \chi_{N[0,1]\geq M}(\theta_s\omega)ds\right|\leq 2, \tag{4.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega_t)ds-\int_0^t N[0,1]\chi_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}(\theta_s\omega)ds\right| \\
&\leq \int_{t-1}^t N[s,s+1](\omega)ds+\int_{t-1}^t N[s,s+1](\omega_t)ds \\
&\leq N[t-1,t+1](\omega)+N[t-1,t+1](\omega_t) \\
&= N[t-1,t+1](\omega)+N[t-1,t](\omega)+N[0,1](\omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

By Lemma 20, we have the superexponential estimate, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log P\left(\frac{1}{t}\left\{N[t-1,t+1](\omega)+N[t-1,t](\omega)+N[0,1](\omega)\right\}\geq\epsilon\right)=-\infty. \tag{4.42}$$

□

Lemma 25. *For any* $\delta, M > 0, \ell > 0$, *define*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\delta &= \{Q\in\mathcal{M}_S(\Omega):Q(N[0,\delta]\geq 2)\leq\delta g(\delta)\},\\ \mathcal{A}_M &= \{Q\in\mathcal{M}_S(\Omega):Q(N[0,1]\geq M)\leq\varepsilon(M)\},\\ \mathcal{A}_\ell &= \left\{Q\in\mathcal{M}_S(\Omega):\int_{N[0,1]\geq\ell}N[0,1]dQ\leq m(\ell)\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

where $\varepsilon(M)\to 0$ *as* $M\to\infty$, $m(\ell)\to 0$ *as* $\ell\to\infty$ *and* $g(\delta)\to 0$ *as* $g\to 0$. *Let* $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,M,\ell}=\mathcal{A}_\delta\cap\mathcal{A}_M\cap\mathcal{A}_\ell$ *and*

$$\mathcal{A}^n=\bigcap_{j=n}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}_{\frac{1}{j},j,j}. \tag{4.44}$$

Then, $\mathcal{A}^n$ *is compact.*

Proof. Observe that for $\beta > 0$,

$$K_\beta=\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}\{\omega:\{N[-k,-(k-1)](\omega)\leq\beta\ell_k\}\cap\{N[k-1,k](\omega)\leq\beta\ell_k\}\} \tag{4.45}$$

are relatively compact sets in Ω. Let $\overline{K_\beta}$ be the closure of K_β, which is then compact.

For any $Q\in\mathcal{A}^n$, $Q(N[0,1]\geq M)\leq\epsilon(M)$ for any $M\geq n$. We can choose β big enough and an increasing sequence ℓ_k such that $\beta\ell_1\geq n$ and $\infty>\sum_{k=1}^\infty\epsilon(\beta\ell_k)\to 0$ as $\beta\to\infty$, uniformly for $Q\in\mathcal{A}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}Q(\overline{K_\beta}^c)\leq Q(K_\beta^c)&=Q\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty\{N[-k,-(k-1)](\omega)>\beta\ell_k\}\cap\{N[k-1,k](\omega)>\beta\ell_k\}\right)\\ &\leq\sum_{k=1}^\infty\{Q(N[-(k-1),-k]>\beta\ell)+Q(N[k-1,k]>\beta\ell_k)\}\\ &=2\sum_{k=1}^\infty Q(N[0,1]>\beta\ell_k)\\ &\leq 2\sum_{k=1}^\infty\epsilon(\beta\ell_k)\to 0\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

as $\beta\to\infty$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}^n$ is tight in the weak topology and by Prokhorov theorem $\mathcal{A}^n$ is precompact in the weak topology. In other words, for any sequence in $\mathcal{A}^n$, there exists a subsequence, say Q_n such that $Q_n\to Q$ weakly as $n\to\infty$ for some Q. By the definition of $\mathcal{A}^n$, Q_n are uniformly integrable, which implies that $\int N[0,1]dQ_n\to\int N[0,1]dQ$ as $n\to\infty$. It is also easy to see that $\mathcal{A}^n$ is closed by checking that each $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{1}{j},j,j}$ is closed. That implies that $Q\in\mathcal{A}^n$. Finally, we need to check that Q is a simple point process. Let $I_{j,\delta} = [(j-1)\delta, j\delta]$. We have for any $Q \in \mathcal{A}^n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(\exists t : N[t-,t] \geq 2\right) &= Q\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\{\exists t \in [-k,k] : N[t-,t] \geq 2\}\right) \\
&= Q\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{\delta>0}\bigcup_{j=-[k/\delta]+1}^{[k/\delta]}\{\omega : \#\{\omega \cup I_{j,\delta}\} \geq 2\}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\inf_{\delta=\frac{1}{m}, m\geq n}\sum_{j=-[k/\delta]+1}^{[k/\delta]} Q(\#\{\omega \cup I_{j,\delta}\} \geq 2) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\inf_{\delta=\frac{1}{m}, m\geq n}\{2[k/\delta]\delta g(\delta)\} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.47}
$$

Hence, $\mathcal{A}^n$ is precompact in our topology. Since $\mathcal{A}^n$ is closed, $\mathcal{A}^n$ is compact. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author is enormously grateful to his advisor Professor S. R. S. Varadhan for suggesting this topic and for his superb guidance, understanding, patience, and generosity. The author is supported by NSF grant DMS-0904701.

References

[1] Bordenave, C., and G. L. Torrisi, *Large Deviations of Poisson Cluster Processes*, Stochastic Models, 23:593-625, 2007

[2] Brémaud, P., and L. Massoulié, *Stability of Nonlinear Hawkes Processes*, The Annals of Probability, Vol.24, No.3, 1563-1588, 1996

[3] Daley, D. J. and D. Vere-Jones, *An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes*, 1st Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1988

[4] Dembo, A. and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 1998

[5] Donsker, M. D. and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Asymptotic Evaluation of Certain Markov Process Expectations for Large Time. IV*, Communications of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XXXVI 183-212, 1983

[6] Grandell, J., *Point Processes and Random Measures*, Advances in Applied Probability, Vol.9, No.3, 1977, 502-526

[7] Hawkes, A. G. *Spectra of Some Self-Exciting and Mutually Exciting Point Processes*, Biometrika 58, 1, p83, 1971

[8] Jagers, P. *Branching Processes with Biological Applications*, John Wiley, London, 1975

[9] Liniger, T. *Multivariate Hawkes Processes*, PhD thesis, ETH, 2009

[10] Lipster, R. S. and A. N. Shiryaev, *Statistics of Random Processes* II. Applications, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2001

[11] Stabile, G. and G. L. Torrisi, *Risk Processes with Non-Stationary Hawkes Arrivals*, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Prob. 12:415-429, 2010

[12] Varadhan, S. R. S., *Special Invited Paper: Large Deviations*, The Annals of Probability, Vol. 36, No. 2, 397-419, 2008

[13] Varadhan, S. R. S., *Large Deviations and Applications*, SIAM, 1984

[14] Zhu, L., *Large Deviations for General Markovian Hawkes Processes*, preprint, 2011

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences
New York University
251 Mercer Street
New York, NY-10012
United States of America
E-mail address: `ling@cims.nyu.edu`